Pages 1 - 15

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

)

)

Before The Honorable James Donato, Judge

IN RE: GOOGLE PLAYSTORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION.

NO. 21-md-02981 JD

San Francisco, California Thursday, February 18, 2021

TRANSCRIPT OF ZOOM WEBINAR PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES VIA ZOOM:

For Plaintiff Consolidated Consumer Class:

BARTLIT BECK LLP

1801 Wewetta Street - Suite 1200

Denver, Colorado 80202

BY: KARMA M. GIULIANELLI, ATTORNEY AT LAW

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

850 Third Avenue

New York, New York 10022

BY: HAE SUNG NAM, ATTORNEY AT LAW

For Plaintiff:

MILBERG PHILLIPS GROSSMAN LLP

100 Garden City Plaza - Suite 500

Garden City, New York 11530

BY: MS. PEGGY WEDGWORTH, ATTORNEY AT LAW

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE)

Reported By: Marla F. Knox, RPR, RMR, CRR

United States Official Court Reporter

1	APPEARANCES VIA ZOOM:	(CONT'D)
2	For Plaintiff Epic Games:	
3		CRAVATH SWAINE AND MOORE LLP 825 Eighth Avenue
4	BY:	New York, New York 10019 YONATAN EVEN, ATTORNEY AT LAW
5	For Developer Class:	
6		HAUSFELD LLP 1700 K Street NW - Suite 650
7	BY:	Washington, D.C. 20006
8		SPERLING & SLATER P.C.
9		55 W. Monroe Street - Suite 3200 Chicago, Illinois 60603
10	BY:	
11		HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 1301 Second Avenue - Suite 2000
12	BY:	Seattle, Washington 98101 STEVE W. BERMAN, ATTORNEY AT LAW
13	For Defendants:	
14		MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP One Market - Spear Street Tower
15	BY:	San Francisco, California 94105 BRIAN C. ROCCA, ATTORNEY AT LAW
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Thursday - February 18, 2021 1 10:42 a.m. 2 PROCEEDINGS ---000---3 THE CLERK: Calling multi-district litigation 21-2981, 4 5 In Re: Google Play Store Antitrust Litigation. Counsel for the Plaintiff, Peggy Wedgworth. 6 7 MS. WEDGWORTH: Yes. Peggy Wedgworth here. THE CLERK: Yonatan Evan. 8 MR. EVEN: Yes, good afternoon. Yonatan Even for Epic 9 Games. 10 11 THE CLERK: Karma -- I'm not even going to try your last name. 12 MS. GIULIANELLI: We will get a few hearings in. 13 Karma Giulianelli -- like three girls' names, Julie and 14 15 Ellie -- for the Plaintiff consolidated consumer class. 16 THE CLERK: Thank you. Hae Sung Nam. 17 MS. NAM: Hi, Hae Sung Nam with the consumer class. THE CLERK: Steve Berman. 18 MR. BERMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Steve Berman 19 20 for the developer class. 21 THE CLERK: Melinda Coolidge. MS. COOLIDGE: Good morning, Melinda Coolidge for the 22 23 developer class as well. THE CLERK: And Eamon Kelly. 24 25 MR. KELLY: Eamon kelly also with the developer class.

Counsel for the Defendant. 1 THE CLERK: Hello, Your Honor, it is Brian Rocca from 2 MR. ROCCA: Morgan Lewis representing the Google Defendants. 3 THE COURT: 4 Okay. 5 We are now an MDL which is great. It makes my life 6 easier. So what we need to do is -- we are not going to go back to 7 step zero, but we have got six new people -- six new cases 8 joining by my count from District of Columbia, Mississippi, 9 10 Virginia and Missouri. 11 And we are not going to go back to step zero. going to step one, which is we are going to have an organizing 12 13 day. Now, I will spell all this out in a minute order or 14 15 something else. But just going forward now, everything you-all 16 file, one has -- one version -- one copy has to be filed in the 17 MDL. Okay. So everything you file, one has to go in the MDL. And 18 19 then one goes in your specific underlying case. That's it. 20 Okay, so just your case. 21 The consumers file something, they will file it just in 22 the consumer case and in the MDL not in the developer case. 23 And that goes true for everything else. And, you know, of course, Epic will file everything in its 24

case and the MDL, and that's how we will do it.

25

Please be careful about that because it's going to be -it is going to create a problem if that doesn't work out.

Now, the second thing is I want to coordinate all of the pleading motion processes.

Now, I am going to ask you-all -- and you are all experienced, capable lawyers. You-all get together and come up with a plan on how to do this.

Let me tell you what I would like. Ideally, I would like to have one maybe omnibus brief on all of the antitrust issues from the Defendants' side, okay.

There are -- you know, likely to be highly overlapping and possibly even identical; okay.

And then I want one omnibus brief in response from all the Defendants cutting across all three of the subcategories in the MDL.

I think you can do that. But just talk it through. And if there is some good reason why that doesn't work, you can tell me. But that's where I would like to end up.

Then -- and this is what I have done in my other MDL cases. It has worked out very, very well; namely, being an antitrust case, this is right on point.

To the extent any of the individual Plaintiffs have unique issues or Google has a unique defense to certain categories -- say, the consumer versus the developer Plaintiffs -- you can file a short supplementary brief, you know, addressing those

issues. Okay.

So it will be one large brief and then little satellite briefs that do not replicate anything in the omnibus papers, okay; but just adds the extra 10 or 15 percent that is unique to that particular space of cases or context of cases.

All right. So, first, is that concept clear? I think it is. But is anybody choking on the concept?

(No response.)

THE COURT: Good. Okay. So I'm going to put it in your hands and let you solve it.

This is what judges do. I have set the goal, and you-all work out the details and make it happen.

And then I want it all in one day. All right. It makes no sense to have serial motion to dismisses.

So we will schedule it. We will have one Motion To

Dismiss day and that's going to be the other target. So pick a

date for that. It should be -- you know, give yourselves some

time here.

You are probably looking at a date, I'm guessing, in May or June. That should be all right. If you want to do it after, that's fine. But, you know, I wouldn't do it too much earlier than that unless there is a very good reason for it.

The next issue is -- I don't know the answer to this, but I would like to know with six new cases arriving -- if anybody feels a need to amend the current complaints, you should decide

that soon and let me know.

All right. It's all up to you. You-all on the Plaintiffs' side, reach out to your new friends who are just walking onto the bus and see what they want to do.

And if you need -- if you feel a need to amend, tell

Mr. Rocca, and work out some kind of a schedule. Just send it
to me as a proposal. And please try to do that -- I -- you
should figure that out within the next week. All right.

I don't want to get this hung up too long. So within a week from today figure out whether you-all on the Plaintiffs' side want to amend anything.

If you do, call Mr. Rocca and work out a schedule. And it should be fine with me. I probably won't have anything to say about it. Just make sure everybody on the parties' side is all set for that. Okay.

So I think that's really all I wanted to do. I mean, you have a lot to do. Those are all the talking points I had for today.

Does anybody want to raise anything else? We are just having an organizing meeting. So anything, anything you want.

MS. NAM: Your Honor, Hae Sung Nam with the consumer cases. The transferred cases are basically consumer cases.

THE COURT: I was wondering about it. So all six of them are?

MS. NAM: Yeah. So the complaints there are nearly

identical factually, legal theory wise and for remedy. 1 So we think that -- you know, we think it makes sense for 2 these cases to be consolidated consistent with the Court's 3 consolidation order entered on November 20th. 4 5 And in that -- based on that, we don't -- you know, we have discussed it. And at this point we don't think that there 6 is a need to amend or file a new consolidated complaint. 7 THE COURT: All right. So you talked to the Counsel 8 on all those new cases, all six of them; and they agree to 9 10 that? We haven't conferred with all of them, but 11 MS. NAM: we have conferred with some. 12 13 THE COURT: I will feel better -- please ask 14 everybody. 15 MS. NAM: Okay. 16 THE COURT: And, you know, the one thing about MDLs 17 that I'm very cautious about is people coming late should not just be preempted from everything. 18 So I want you to check with them and see what they would 19 20 like. And you have a week. Should be plenty of time.

like you are already halfway through.

So just file a statement within, you know, a week from today saying that you do or do not propose to amend the consumer consolidated complaint. That would be great.

Okay. Anyone else?

21

22

23

24

25

MR. EVEN: One other thing, Your Honor -- Yanaton Even for Epic Games -- is that we have a pending scheduling order before Your Honor. And we can certainly wait for the six new cases and ask them what their opinion is about that scheduling order.

But all the parties that are in this case have stipulated to that schedule, and we have now asked again in the recent joint statement to enter that.

So we can wait the week or not wait the week, but we think that given that these cases are essentially copycat cases of the cases that are already here, that would make sense to govern the entire MDL.

THE COURT: That's fine with me. I do want to wait for a week. It sounds like there won't be any surprises, but it is seven more days.

So let's just do that. And if it turns out that the consumer complaint is going to stand as is, I will promptly issue the scheduling order.

MS. GIULIANELLI: Your Honor, this is Karma Giulianelli for the consumer class on the schedule.

We agree that it should be entered pending, you know, any issues with the new cases in a week.

However, we do want to point out that the proposed schedule -- particularly with respect to class certification -- really depends on our ability -- to me it depends on our

ability to get some substantial discovery with respect to transaction --

THE COURT: Well, let me just jump in. Nothing is being stayed. Knock yourselves out. I mean, there is no stay order.

I haven't -- and there is no automatic -- I mean, there is nothing bar -- tying your hands. I mean, you should all be churning and burning here. You don't need me to say "yes."

You have the right under the rules, and I didn't stay anything. So if you are not acting, that's on you. Don't wait on me.

Scheduling orders come like snowflakes. They shouldn't hold you up while you are driving. Just go. Do your thing, yeah.

MS. GIULIANELLI: We understand that, and we have been meeting and conferring a lot with Google.

We don't have any issues that are ripe right now, but we did want top point out that we have been meeting and conferring; and we have a lot of outstanding requests regularly on a way to obtain the substantial -- you know, the transactional data that we need.

And if we end up needing assistance with the Court, we will come to the Court in the near future. But we have been moving it a long pretty quickly to get the data.

We are just pointing out we still don't have it, and

that's the only caveat. 1 Okay. If you have problems, send me a 2 THE COURT: discovery letter. 3 Now, please, follow my format. It is just a three-page 4 5 letter -- nothing else -- and the relevant requests. No e-mails, no declarations. it. 6 You know, I won't take the letter if it doesn't fit the 7 So take that to heart. format. 8 You know, as I said before, I keep these things; and I 9 solve them usually within two or three weeks. So there won't 10 11 be anything held up. Okay. Anybody else on anything? MR. ROCCA: Your Honor, it is Brian Rocca. 12 I just want to make it clear to the Court and Counsel that 13 Google is very willing to meet and confer in good faith on the 14 schedule. 15 16 If Plaintiffs' Counsel has any concern about any 17 particular deadline, we are all ears and eager to meet and 18 confer on that. THE COURT: Okay. And I thought you-all agreed on the 19 20 schedule. So I'm not sure -- are you talking about production 21 schedules or what are you talking about?

MR. ROCCA: Well, we do agree that there is a schedule that has been stipulated to. But my understanding for Ms. Giulianelli -- I think I got the name right, Karma -- is

she is maybe raising some concerns about the schedule.

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

now for the cases.

I just want to make it clear that although we stipulated, if any Counsel wants to talk about that before the Court enters an order, we are prepared to do that over the next week or sooner. THE COURT: Mr. Even, I thought you were all locked Isn't that what you told me? in. I believe we are locked in. I think that MR. EVEN: (inaudible) indicates -- I believe, Ms. Giulianelli -- I will let her speak for herself. I think the concern is about the production schedule, not the schedule before us. And that's why we will need to come to Your Honor with a letter if that arises and becomes a real issue. THE COURT: Ms. Giulianelli, I mean, that's -- to be candid, I thought you were starting to blame me for not having a schedule order. And you cleverly and properly changed the tack of your ship after I expressed my views to raise concerns about production. So it is all on Mr. Rocca, not me. You-all work it out. Just send me a letter if you have got a problem. That's all you have got to do. And then, look, I know you probably don't know this; and this is just out of my own curiosity. I'm assuming this is it

It seems to me that, you know, you-all probably would know if anybody else is going to file or if anything else is coming in. Is that your sense, Ms. Giulianelli?

MS. GIULIANELLI: That is our sense. We are not aware of anything else. And given the timeframe of which the MDL was -- the motions were pending, we don't anticipate anything -- we don't anticipate anything else, and we are ready to go forward as quickly as possible.

THE COURT: Great. All right.

(No response.)

THE COURT: Nope. Okay. Oh, one other thing.

I can't remember whether we discussed this last time. I think we did, but I just didn't look it up. Did we set regular meetings for you-all to just come in and have a presumptive status conference date?

I do this in larger cases routinely. It is actually quite productive. I'm guessing from your expressions that I didn't do this, but I typically would propose is that every 30 days we just have a date. It is on the calendar. And anything that comes up, we can talk about it.

I mean, not for motions. All right. We are not -- this is not an opportunity to have sort of an informal oral motion practice. But, you know, how are things going. I can often solve discovery issues where you can raise any pressing problems that are on the horizon that you would like me to talk

about, help you with. Whatever you want. 1 Okay. So I'm going to -- and then if you don't want to do 2 it, that's fine. You-all just get together and say: 3 nothing to talk about with the Judge. And you just send me a 4 little note on the ECF and we cancel it. 5 It is entirely up to you. I'm going to do that. We will 6 7 have our next -- about 30 days from now -- probably in April -we will have the initial sort of 30-day meeting in April. 8 we will see how that goes. 9 If it turns out you don't need them, we might make it once 10 11 a quarter. And I really -- I can't imagine we will need them in less than 30-day intervals. But we will start with 30 days 12 and we can see how it goes and you can stretch it out. 13 All right. How does that sound? Everybody okay with 14 15 that? Mr. Rocca? 16 MR. ROCCA: Sounds great, Your Honor. THE COURT: Plaintiffs, everybody is good with that? 17 All right. 18 19 (No response.) 20 THE COURT: Somebody just vanished. Okay. All right. That's what we will do. Anything else? 21 Last call? Nothing. 22 23 MR. EVEN: One quick thing, Your Honor. How would Your Honor like us to report back in a week? Would you like 24 25 another joint statement?

Yes, just file a short joint statement. 1 THE COURT: And when we have our 30 days, just one week before file a joint 2 statement saying here are the things we would like to discuss. 3 I will say in my other cases there has been a little bit 4 5 of admission creep where people wait until they show up and then start talking to me about things. 6 It is very helpful -- and a requirement -- that I know at 7 least a couple days in advance, so I have a chance to be aware 8 of what is happening and maybe formulate some initial thoughts 9 10 or discussion points or questions at least -- at very minimum 11 formulate questions. So do your best one week before our regular status 12 conferences, file a short statement saying: We don't want to 13 have it or here are the issues that we would like to discuss 14 15 with the Court. 16 Okay. All right. Great. Okay. Thanks very much. 17 MS. GIULIANELLI: Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor. 18 MR. EVEN: MR. ROCCA: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 20 (Proceedings adjourned at 10:58 a.m.) 21 ---000---22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER We certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Monday, February 22, 2021 DATE: Marla Krox Marla F. Knox, RPR, CRR U.S. Court Reporter